Homework #4 Key 100 points total

Part I Surface and upper-air analyses 40 points

Where 12 UTC data were unavailable for surface data, I used the next closest analysis time.

Surface analysis: 15 UTC 22 May 2011



500-mb Heights (m) and Winds (m s⁻¹) From NAM 12h forecast



850-mb Heights (m) and Dewpt (°C) From NAM 12h forecast



<u>Surface</u>

In southern Missouri, conditions are very humid. Dewpoints are in the high 60s and even in the 70s further south into Arkansas, and that is about the maximum they ever get for this part of the country. Moist air is being advected directly from the Gulf of Mexico on southerly winds at the surface of 20 kts.

Even in the morning hours there is already precipitation occurring in Arkansas, in the form of showers and thunderstorms ahead of a surface cold front

<u>Upper-air</u>

Upper-level trough is located over the Dakotas. The jet max associated with this disturbance is located over western Nebraska, and that is where the strongest PVA is occurring. The system is probably nearing maximum intensity as a mature to occluded mid-latitude cyclone. as it is nearly vertically stacked. Though there is some PVA over southern Missouri, probably the more important factor for synoptic-scale vertical motion is WAA.

The surface cold front is located approximately in eastern KS (extending south and west into OK and TX) during the morning of the event, as clearly indicated by the large moisture gradient there. Supercell thunderstorms would be more favored to develop on the tail end of the front, to the south and west of the surface low, because of the high directional shear. This would certainly include southern Missouri.

Part II Sounding analysis 20 points

Used data from Wyoming site

72440 SGF Springfield



Why is this a Miller type I, loaded gun sounding?



	Lifted index = -6.80
SLAT 37.23 SLON -93.38	Very unstable- heavy to strong thunderstorms: RIGHT
SELV 387.0	Cross totals = 12.7
SHUW 1.90 LIFT -6.80	Vertical totals = 34.7
LFTV -7.56	Totals-totals = 47.4
SWET 156.7 KINX 19.30 CTOT 12.70	Thunderstorms, some severe with scattered tornadoes: RIGHT for TT and VT, WRONG for CT
VTOT 34.70	Showalter: 1.9 (probably too low because its morning sounding)
CAPE 2267	Thunderstorms possible—strong trigger needed: WRONG
CAPV 2431.	munderstorms possible - strong trigger needed. Whond
CINS -212.	K-index: 19.30
CINV -145.	No probability for thunderstorms: REALLY WRONG
EQLV 211.1 Fotv 211.0	No probability for thanderstorms. <u>NEALER</u> WRONG
LFCT 724.2	\rightarrow Only the first two of these indices are giving an accurate nicture of
LFCV 748.7	potential for tornadic thunderstorms, even for a very obvious case of
BRCH 36.53	strong torpadaas. So one index alone should never be considered in
BRCV 39.18	isolation for forecasting purposed
LCLI 291.0 ICLP 9281	isolation for forecasting purposes!
MLTH 298.1	CARE of 2207 Live-1 violely a requirement we dreft viole situations
MLMR 14.86	CAPE of 2267 J kg ⁺ yields a maximum updraft velocity in a
THCK 5696.	thunderstorm cell of 67 m s ⁻¹ . CAPE value is definitely indicative of
PWAT 23.91	strong convection! Moreover, strong CIN of -212 J kg ⁻¹ will facilitate only the strongest updrafts to develop into a few strong supercells.

Part III Hodograph Analysis 40 points



12Z 22 May 2011

University of Wyoming

Storm relative vertical velocity Tornadic signature can be used to track storm velocity

5:29 pm







Seine no Columbus Osweac oplin Miami 1.

884

Storm travels approximately 10 miles in 24 minutes Storm motion to ESE Greenfield

Estimated speed = 11 m s⁻¹ U = 10.7 m s⁻¹ V = -2.8 m s⁻¹

Carthage

NOTES: The supercell moves to the Mount Verr right of the mean steering wind at about 600-mb, as it should for a clockwise turning hodograph.

Bluestein's rule of thumb to add 5-10 m s⁻¹ to right of mean wind to estimate storm motion vector actually works pretty.... well here!

Pineville

